

David C. O'Mara
The O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.
 311 E. Liberty Street
 Reno, NV 89501
 Tel: (775) 323-1321
 Fax: (775) 323-4082
 david@omaralaw.net

D. Greg Blankinship (*pro hac vice*)
 Jeremiah Frei-Pearson (*pro hac vice*)
MEISELMAN PACKMAN NEALON
SCIALABBA & BAKER, P.C.
 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue
 White Plains, New York 10605
 Tel: (914) 517-5000
 Fax: (914) 517-5055
 gblankinship@mdpcelaw.com
 jfrei-pearson@mdpcelaw.com

Peter J. Mougey (*pro hac vice*)
 James L. Kauffman (*pro hac vice*)
LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS
MITCHELL RAFFERTY & PROCTOR, P.A.
 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600
 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5996
 Tel: (850) 435-7068
 Fax: (850) 436-6068
 pmougey@levinlaw.com
 jkauffman@levinlaw.com

Michael M. Goldberg (*pro hac vice*)
 Marc L. Godino (*pro hac vice*)
GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG, LLP
 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel: (310) 201-9150
 Fax: (310) 201-9160
 info@glancylaw.com

Attorneys for the Consolidated Nevada Plaintiff and the Putative Class
 [Additional counsel are listed on the signature page.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

IN RE ZAPPOS.COM INC., CUSTOMER)
 DATA SECURITY BREACH)
 LITIGATION)

-----) No. 3:12-cv-325- RCJ-VPC
) MDL No. 2357

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)

ALL ACTIONS)

(Class Action)

REPLY IN SUPPORT OF NEVADA PLAINTIFFS'
REQUEST FOR A REASONABLE BRIEFING EXTENSION

1 Plaintiffs Stephanie Prier, Patti Hasner, Sylvia St. Lawrence, Josh Richards, Zetha
2 Nobles, Patricia Gallatin, Dahlia Habashy, Robert Ree, Shari Simon, and Kathryn Vorhoff
3 (collectively, “Nevada Plaintiffs” or “Plaintiffs”)¹ reply in support of their request an
4 extension of time to respond to Defendant Zappos.com’s (“Defendant” or Zappos”) Motion to Dismiss Complaints (Docket Entry No. 69).

6 Zappos’ Opposition to the instant motion requests that all plaintiffs’ oppositions be
7 extended to February 14, 2012, with Zappos’ reply due on March 8, 2012. (Docket Entry
8 No. 71 at 2). Nevada Plaintiffs do not object to this briefing schedule, which is reasonable
9 in light of Zappos’ 48 page motion to dismiss and is consistent with parties’ history of
10 agreeing to reasonable extensions for briefing-related issues.

12 However, Zappos seeks to use these reasonable briefing extensions as an excuse to
13 extend the deadlines (a) for the production of initial disclosures and (b) for the parties to
14 establish a protocol for the handling of electronically stored information (“ESI”). (*Id.* at
15 5). Zappos’ proposed discovery-related extensions are not tied to any stated difficulties
16 with meeting the current discovery-related deadlines. Zappos offers no explanation as to
17 why an extension for plaintiffs’ time to oppose a motion to dismiss would necessitate a
18 corresponding extension on these discovery-related issues. If anything, the briefing
19 extensions will provide additional time that should make it easier for the parties to timely
20
21
22

23
24 ¹ This motion is filed on behalf of Plaintiffs in the following original cases, all of which are
25 incorporated into Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint (Docket Entry No. 59): This counsel
26 group represent Plaintiffs in the following cases: *Habashy v. Amazon.com* (“Amazon”), 3:12-
27 cv-00338-RCJ-VPC, *Nobles v. Amazon*, 3:12-cv-00392-RCJ-VPC, *Prier v. Amazon*, 3:12-cv-
28 00325-RCJ-VPC, *Prier v. Amazon*, 2:12-cv-00182-RCJ-VCF, *Ree v. Amazon*, 3:12-cv-00072-
RCJ-WGC, *Richards v. Amazon*, 3:12-cv-00337-RCJ-VPC, *Simon v. Amazon*, 2:12-cv-00232-
RCJ-VCF, Case No. 3:12-cv-0032, and *St. Lawrence v. Zappos*, 3:12-cv-00355-RCJ-VPC. It
is not filed on behalf of the plaintiffs in the four cases that filed a separate Amended
Complaint (Docket Entry No. 58).

1 produce initial disclosures and agree on an ESI protocol. Accordingly, the Nevada
2 Plaintiffs oppose Zappos' request for an extension of the discovery deadlines.

3 **CONCLUSION**

4 For all the foregoing reasons, the Nevada Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court
5 extend Plaintiffs' time to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss until February 14, 2013.
6

7 Dated: January 7, 2013

By: /s/ David C. O'Mara
David C. O'Mara
The O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.
311 E. Liberty Street
Reno, NV 89501
Telephone: (775) 323-1321
Facsimile: (775) 323-4082
david@omaralaw.net

12 ***Proposed Interim Liaison Counsel For***
13 ***Plaintiffs And The Putative Class***

14 Peter J. Mougey (*pro hac vice*)
15 James L. Kauffman (*pro hac vice*)
16 **LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS**
17 **MITCHELL**
18 **RAFFERTY & PROCTOR, P.A.**
19 316 South Baylen Street, Suite 600
20 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5996
Telephone: (850) 435-7068
Facsimile: (850) 436-6068
pmougey@levinlaw.com
jkauffman@levinlaw.com

21 D. Greg Blankinship (*pro hac vice*)
22 Jeremiah Frei-Pearson (*pro hac vice*)
23 **MEISELMAN PACKMAN NEALON**
24 **SCIALABBA & BAKER, P.C.**
25 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue
White Plains, New York 10605
Tel: (914) 517-5000
Fax: (914) 517-5055
jcarton@mdpcelaw.com
gblankinship@mdpcelaw.com
jfrei-pearson@mdpcelaw.com

28 Michael Goldberg (*pro hac vice*)

Marc Godino (*pro hac vice*)
**GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG
LLP**
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 201-9150
Facsimile: (310) 201-9160
info@glancylaw.com

***Proposed Interim Lead Counsel For
Plaintiffs And The Putative Class***

Brent Carson
WINNER & CARSON
510 S. Eighth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Tel: (702) 471-1111
Fax: (702) 471-0110
bac@winnercarson.com

J. Andrew Meyer
Morgan & Morgan, P.A.
One Tampa City Center, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone: (813) 223-0955
Facsimile: (850) 223-5402
dcharlip@charlipawgroup.com

Reginald V. Terrell
The Terrell Law Group
PO Box 13315, PMB# 148, CA
94661
Oakland, CA 94661
reggie2@aol.com

David H. Charlip
Charlip Law Group, LLC
17501 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 510
Aventura, Florida 33160
Telephone: (305) 354-9313
Facsimile: (305) 354-9314
dcharlip@charlipawgroup.com

***Counsel for the Nevada Plaintiffs
and the Putative Class***

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on January 7, 2013, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using ECF. Accordingly, I also certify that the foregoing are being served this day on counsel of record via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

/s/ Adrian M. Weis
ADRIAN M. WEIS